

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 11/25/2003		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4734
10/722,353			Ramachandra Divakaruni	FIS920000227US2	
29505	7590	11/16/2005		EXAMINER	
DELIO & PETERSON, LLC				ERDEM, FAZLI	
121 WHITNE	Y AVEN	UE			T
NEW HAVEN, CT 06510				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			2826	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		E	Ī			
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u></u> -			
	10/722,353	DIVAKARUNI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Fazli Erdem	2826				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vorce - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE					
Status						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 August 2005.					
,—	action is non-final.					
,—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	:х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 4:	03 U.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 21-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 21-30 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 31,32,35,38 and 39 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 33,34,36,37 and 40 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Application/Control Number: 10/722,353 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 21-30 allowed.

2. Claims 33, 34, 36, 37 and 40 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 31, 32, 35, 38 and 39 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chung (6,548,374) in view of Schrems et al. (6,465,370)

Regarding Claims 31, 32, 35, 38 and 39, Chung discloses a method of self-aligned shallow trench isolation and method of manufacturing non-volatile memory device comprising the same where in Fig. 3D, it is disclosed a semiconductor substrate 100, a plurality of adjacent trenches 109 in semiconductor substrate, a plurality of adjacent segments of semiconductor substrate between each of plurality of adjacent trenches, an oxidation barrier layer 111 residing in lower portions of plurality of adjacent trenches 109 and a self-aligned shallow trench isolation comprising merged section of selected ones of

plurality of adjacent segments of semiconductor substrate along a first row above the oxidation barrier layer 111.

Regarding Claim 32 the semiconductor substrate is silicon

Regarding Claim 35, 38 and 39, barrier layer could be one of oxide, silicon oxynitride, silicon nitride or thermal oxide.

Chung fails to disclose the required configuration where self-aligned isolation structure is on the upper part of the trench and the barrier layer on the lower portions of the trench. However, Schrems et al. disclose a low-leakage low capacitance isolation material where in Fig. 6B, isolation structure 56A is locate on the upper portions of the trench and the barrier layer 44 is on the lower portion of the trench.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required self aligned isolation structure on the top portion of the trench and the barrier layer in the lower portion of the trench in Chung as taught by Schrems et al. in order to have a semiconductor memory device with increased reliability.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,353 Page 4

Art Unit: 2826

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FE

November 12, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT CANADARE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800